Land Degradation and Soil Loss Estimation by Rusle and GIS Technique: A Case Study
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ABSTRACT:
Upper most layer of soil and underground water is endowed of nature for India. India is an agriculture based country and land degradation is a critical issue which generally occurring for sustainable development. There are so many factors that affect land on various points of view with different purposes. Increasing population, over exploitation of soil and deforestation are the key factor for degradation processes. Many researchers describe land use characteristic and land degradation to different regions of India. According to review this works done in Ratmau-Pathhari Rao Watersheds, Haridwar district of Uttarakhand to assess the land degradation using Remote Sensing & Geographical Information System technique. Monitoring and mapping of degraded land, Synoptic coverage, multi resolution and repetitvey of satellite data were found to be used. The RUSLE (Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation) with Geographic Information System (GIS) technique used for predicting the various factors related to soil loss and the spatial patterns of soil erosion risk required for land degradation assessment. Thematic data were used for land use and land cover, meteorological data prefer for Rainfall erosivity (R) factor and soil map for the soil erodibility (K) factor where as Digital Elevation Model (DEM) was used to generate spatial topographic factor. Soil erodibility (K) factor in the sub-watershed ranged from 0.30 to 0.42. The Ratmau-Pathari Rao sub-watershed is dominated by natural forest in the hilly landform and agricultural land in the piedmont land with alluvial plains. The study predicted that 41.7% area has ‘very low’ 7.33% area has ‘low’ 8.43% area has ‘moderately’ 5.43% area has ‘moderately high’ 7.53% area has ‘high’ 8.33% area has ‘very high’ and 19.22% area has 'extremely high' risk of Land Degradation in the Ratmau-Pathari Rao watershed
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INTRODUCTION
Soil is one of the most valuable natural resource so for its susceptibility for long term and used with its potential necessary. There are numerous terms and definitions that are a source of confusion, misunderstanding, and misinterpretation. Mostly preferred term used in the literature, often with distinct disciplinary-oriented meaning, and leading to misinterpretation among disciplines. Some common terms used are soil degradation, land degradation, and desertification. (Land degradation newsletter of the International task force on land degradation, 1998).Assessment of a process which decreases current potential of land capability to produce goods or services is known as Land Degradation Assessment. Land degradation assessment suggests the optimum land use and planning concept of land. Land degradation now a day's major environmental problem throughout the world. A big fraction of world’s soil resources is evident of continuing degradation of soil, causing the decrease in land capability, loss of upper fertile soil and decrease food productivity1. Factors of land degradation for the biophysical processes and attributes that determine the kind of degradation processes, e.g. erosion, salinization, etc. the major research which he considered that he include land quality3. The productivity of some lands has declined by 50% due to soil erosion and desertification and degradation2. In Africa Yield reduction due to past soil erosion may range from 2 to 40%, with a mean loss of 8.2% for the continent. In South Asia, annual loss in productivity is estimated at 36 million tons of cereal equivalent valued at US$5,400 million by water erosion, and US$1,800 million due to wind erosion2. In USA about US$44 billion per year invested for erosion from agriculture to that the total annual cost of; i.e. about US$247 per ha of cropland and pasture2. On a global scale the annual loss of 75 billion tons of soil costs the world about US$400 billion per year, or approximately US$70 per person per year. The spatio-temporal trend of rainfall across India river basin using daily gridded high resolution data at 0.25 resolutions from 1901 to 2015. Mann-Kendall and their test were applied for detecting the trend and % change over the period time12. The upward trend was found for the majority of the sub basin for 1, 2, 3 and 5 days maximum cumulative rainfall during the post urbanization era. The magnitude of extreme threshold events is also found to be increasing in the majority of river basins during post-urbanization era14. With the different review studied the prime focus of the present study is to check soil erosion risk mapping. Assessment of land degradation &
LU/LC mapping of Ratmau-pathariRao watershed with the help of IRS P6 LISS-III Satellite data and soil information. Consequence to draw soil loss map using RUSLE Model and prepare soil erosion risk map and land degradation assessment for study area.

STUDY AREA
This work was conducted on Ratmau-Pathari Rao watersheds, in Haridwar district of Uttarakhand to assess the land degradation using Remote Sensing & Geographical Information System Technique. The study area is lies in river command area Ratmau-Pathri Rao watershed. The study area is delineated using SOI Toposheets on the scale of 1:50000. The Ratmau-Pathara Rao watershed is located between 29°49’44”N to 30°10’44”N latitude and 77°50’35”E to 78°6’10”E longitude. The Ratmau-Pathari Rao watersheds situated in Haridwar district of Uttarakhand. Total area covered by the Ratmau-Pathra Rao watershed is 377.9 km². The area includes middle Shiwalik hill slope, piedmont, residual hill and alluvial plain.

Physiography of watershed
Physiographic deals with the study of the surface features, topography and landforms of the Earth, their formation and relation to another. It involves study of the factors and process responsible for evolution of landforms, terrain and Earth surface. In the present study area following physiographic unite are found:-

- Hills
- Residual hills
- Piedmont
- Alluvial plain

The hills are further subdivided according to slope classes into very steep, steep and moderate slope. The piedmonts are further subdivided into upper piedmont and lower piedmont. The alluvial plains are also subdivided into upper alluvial plain and lower alluvial plain.

Soil: The soils fall in the order Entisols and Inceptisols. The surface texture varies from loam to loamy sand; loamy sand to silt loam. Depth of soil varies from moderately deep to very deep. The soil moisture regime of the area is Udic. The plain area covered by loamy to silt loam in texture.

Drainage: The drainage pattern in the study area is mostly dendritic to sub-dendritic patterns controlled by fracture. This area is drained by Ratmau Rao River which originated from the Siwalik foothills and flows towards the south-west direction along with its tributaries. Dhoikhanda Rao, Andheri Rao, Goina Rao, Dhaunla Rao, Patthri Rao, Binj Rao, Sedli Rao, Sampuwali Rao, Betban Rao, Harnual Rao, Chhirak Rao, Bam Rao, Malwala Rao, and Gaj Rao.

The present study area mainly has two different climatic regions, namely, the hilly terrain and the plain region. So, the weather is also quite varied, depending on the topographic surface. Summers, in most of the area are mostly pleasant, but some places have too hot climate. The temperature of some places reaches above 40 °C coupled with humidity, this can be pretty uncomfortable. The summer season extends from April to June. Winters are very cool and temperature during the winter season ranges from below zero degrees Celsius to about 15 °C. The winter season generally extends from October to February. July to September is known as monsoon season. The temperature during this time ranges from 15 to 25 °C at most of the place. The state receives approximately 90% of its annual rainfall in this season.

Geology: The geological formation of the Ratmau-Pathari Rao watershed area is comprised of Siwalik sedimentary formation and alluvial deposits. Towards north, the Siwalik rocks are composed of indurate to compact clastic sediments. The area represents many distinctive litho-logical units having grey sandstone, red mud stone with many structural variations. Many examples of fold and faults can be seen.

Flora and Fauna: The flora of Ratmau-Pathari Rao watershed area dominated by forest mainly mixed dry deciduous forest. The main species are sal, tic, bakli, sain, haldu, kharpat, dhauri, gutel, rohini, amaltas, ber, bel, karaundha etc.
To fulfill the objectives of the study, the following data mentioned in Table 4.1 have been used with various sources according to availability of the data in this project.

Table 3.1: Data type and source

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Data Used</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>IRS P6 LISS-III Image</td>
<td>NRSC</td>
<td>Digital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Cartosat-I (BHUVAN)</td>
<td>Digital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Toposheets</td>
<td>Survey of India</td>
<td>Hard copy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Meteorological data</td>
<td>National Institute of Hydrology, Roorkee, Uttarakhand</td>
<td>Digital</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Software used:
- ERDAS Imagine 9.2

MATERIAL AND METHODOLOGY

RUSLE MODEL
The RUSLE (Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation) model was implemented in geographic information system (GIS) for predicting the soil loss and the spatial patterns of soil erosion risk required for land degradation assessment. Remote sensing data (IRS P6 LISS-III) were used to prepare land use/land cover, Meteorological data for Rainfall erosivity (R) factor and soil map for the soil erodibility (K) factor whereas Digital Elevation Model (DEM) was used to generate spatial topographic factor. Soil erodibility (K) factor in the sub-watershed ranged from 0.30 to 0.42.

Mathematical formulation of RUSLE equation:

$$A = RKLSCP$$

where A(r) (tha$^{-1}$y$^{-1}$) is the average soil loss per year of a grid cell, i.e., at a point r (geographic location of grid cell), R (mt ha$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$) is the rain-fall intensity factor, K (t ha$^{-1}$ per unit R) is the soil erodibility factor, LS(r) (dimensionless) is the topographic (length-slope) factor at a grid cell (r), C (dimensionless) is the land cover factor and P (dimensionless) is the soil conservation or prevention practices factor.
Fig. 4.1 Flow chart of methodology

CRITERIA USED FOR LAND USE/COVER CLASSIFICATION
During the conduction of the project, we used the following important criteria suggested by the USGA and others:

- Interpretative accuracies in the identification of land use/cover categories from Remote sensor data should be 85% or greater.
- The classification system is appreciable over extensive area.
- The classification system should be suitable for use with remote sensor data obtained at different times of the years.

Assessment of the status of the land degradation should proceed before monitoring begins, in order to provide a base condition against which to compare later changes and to establish trends. The major question in monitoring is what to monitor and the time interval for the monitoring. Salinization monitoring probably should be done every year or two if there is reason to believe that a salt problem can or does exist. Five years may be frequent enough to determine changes in sheet erosion but monitoring active gully formation will require a greater frequency.

Table 4.1: Procedure employed in land and soil degradation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedures employed in land and soil degradation (Dregne and Chou, 1990) and soil degradation (Oldeman et al., 1992)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Soil Degradation</th>
<th>Land Degradation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

FIELD WORK & LAB ANALYSIS
In this work firstly some soil sample is collected for analysis the properties of soil. Soil samples were collected from 12 sites located in Ratmau-Pathri Rao Dehradun, Uttarakhand. After digging the soil profile, soil samples were collected profile wise in plastic bags and labeled appropriately. All samples were air-dried by placing them in tray in a well ventilated place until the soil contents have become dry. Then the soil lumps were crushed, powdered and sieved through a 2 mm sieve for physical-chemical properties. The soil samples were further sieved through a 0.2 mm sieve for organic carbon determination by Walkley & Black method.

Analytical Methods
The analytical procedures adopted are as detailed in the laboratory methods of Soil, Plant & Water Analysis manual of Central Analytical Facility, IIRS, Dehradun.

**Field Work & Lab Analysis Photo**

![Field Work & Lab Analysis Photo](image)

Figure 5.1: Field work and Lab analysis Photographs

**SOIL TEXTURE:**
Soil texture is a collective term that defines a real soil by the proportion of different particle size components. 50g of sodium hexa-metaphosphate dissolve in distilled water and make to 1 liter with reagent 5% Sodium hexa-metaphosphate solution. The percentage of sand, silt and clay were determined by Bouyoucos Hydrometer Method. Dispersion of the soil particles into the individual particle and the settling velocity estimates the percentage of sand, silt and clay. Once the sand, silt and clay percentage determined then the soil was assigned to the texture class based on the soil textural triangular Diagram.

\[
\% \text{Sand} = \frac{(\text{Sample Weight} - 40 \text{ sec Corrected Hydrometer reading})}{\text{Sample Weight}} \times 100
\]

\[
\% \text{Clay} = \frac{2 \text{ hrs. Corrected Hydrometer Reading}}{\text{Sample Weight}} \times 100
\]

\[
\% \text{Silt} = 100 - (\% \text{Sand} + \% \text{Clay})
\]

**SOIL ORGANIC CARBON**
Soil organic matter content determined by Walkley and Black method. The reagents used are 1N Potassium dichromate, Conc. Sulphuric Acid, 0.5N Ferrous ammonium sulphate, Diphenylamine indicator & orthophosphoric acid (85%) along with sodium fluoride.

Soil Organic Carbon is the generic name for carbon held within the soil, primarily in association with its organic content. Soil carbon is the largest terrestrial pond of carbon.

\[
\text{OM} = \frac{[10(B-S)/B]}{100} \times 0.003 \times \text{Wt. of sample in gm}
\]

Where B and S stand for the titer values (ml) of blank and sample

\[
\text{TOC} = \% \text{Oxidizable Organic carbon in soil} \times 1.3
\]

**RAINFALL EROSIVITY (R) FACTOR**
The rainfall erosivity indicates the soil loss potential of a given storm event. The annual erosivity was found out by summing rainfall erosivity of individual erosive storms of the year or season (Wischmeier and Smith 1978). It requires long-term data of rainfall amounts and intensities, which is not available for most of the area and hence, relationship between rainfall erosivity index and annual/seasonal rainfall was developed with the data available from various meteorological observatories in India (Singh et al. 1981). The linear annual and seasonal (June–September) relationship between erosion index was as follows:

\[
Y = 79 + 0.383X \quad (r = 0.83) \quad (1)
\]

For Annual average rainfall

\[
Y = 50 + 0.389X \quad (r = 0.88) \quad (2)
\]

For Seasonal average rainfall

Where Y is the average annual erosion index (mt/ha/ct/m) in equation (1) and average seasonal erosion index in equation (2). X is the average annual rainfall (mm) in equation (1) and average seasonal rainfall (mm) in equation (2). Nearest meteorological station for the sub-watershed is located at National Institute of Hydrology, Roorkee, Uttarakhand, which received average seasonal rainfall of 1024.98 mm per year for the period 1995-10. The rainfall erosivity index was estimated to be 471.16 & 383 mt/ha/cm using equation (1) & (2). This value was
used to predict average annual soil loss from the sub-watershed.

**SOIL ERODIBILITY (K) FACTOR**

Soil erodibility index (K) of surface soils of each soil type, associated with the mapping units was computed using following equation:

$$K = 2.8 \times 10^{-7} M^{1.14} (12-a) 4.3 \times 10^{-3} \times (b-2) \times 3.3 \times 10^{-3} (c-3)$$

Where K is the soil erodibility factor (t/hact.), M is particle size parameter (% silt + % very fine sand) * (100 – % clay), a is the organic matter content (%), b is soil structure code and c the soil permeability class. The soil map was reclassified based on K value of each map unit to generate soil erodibility map using GIS.

**DEM**

A DEM is a digital or 3D representation of a terrain surface. Cortosat-I-DEM showing terrain of the surface.

**SPATIAL DISTRIBUTED SLOPE LENGTH (L) AND STEEPNESS (S) FACTORS**

Cortosat –I-DEM is used for slope generation and other purposes. The average slope of each pixel (in percentage) was calculated from the greatest elevation deference between it and its eight neighboring pixels. The slope was classified into eight steepness classes (Wischmeier and Smith 1978) of erosion hazards of nearly level (0–1%), gently undulating (2–4%), strongly undulating (4–6%), gently rolling (6–10%), strongly rolling (10–16%), hilly (16–25%), steep (25–40%), very steep (40–60%) and the extremely steep (>60%) slope classes. The LS factor is the product of slope length and slope steepness factors (figure 4b). It was calculated for each grid cell. The slope length was replaced by the up-slope contributing area per unit width of cell spacing A(r) in RUSLE-3D (Mitasova et al. 1996). The modified LS factor of a grid cell or at a point r =(x,y) is calculated as:

$$LS(r) = (m + 1) A(r) / 22 .13 \sin(\beta(r) / 0.09n)$$

Where $\beta(r)$ is the land surface slope in degrees, m and n are constants equal to 0.6 and The DEM Hydro-Processing tool in ILWIS GIS software was used to calculate up-slope contributing area for each grid cell and equation (4) was implemented to estimate the LS factor of each grid cell.
LAND USE/LAND COVER (LULC)

The LULC classes (figure 5.5) were regrouped for assigning C and P factor values. The area under dense and, open dense categories was 4.61, and 10.78%, respectively. An area of 0.27% was noticed to be under orchards and 44.24% under agriculture. Agriculture land covered 16678 hectare area used for wheat, groundnut, maize and mustard and sugarcane, fodder and vegetables. Vegetation cover (C) value of various LULC varied from 0.004 to 1.0. The highest C value was assigned to wasteland without scrub whereas lowest C value was assigned to very dense forest cover. Based on the conservation practices followed in various land use/land cover types in the area, P factor values were assigned. Forest cover in the watershed belongs to Rajaji National Park and falls under Reserved Forest category& lower Shiwalic hills. As such no mechanical or biological measures are adopted in forest area but it enjoys the protection from human interference. Thus, a conservation practice factor value of 1.0 was assigned to forest land and lands with scrub/rock out. Based on field management practices such as field bunds, tree plantation along field boundary, P factor values were assigned.

PRACTICE MANAGEMENT FACTOR (P)

The support practice factor (P-factor) reflects the impact of support practices that will reduce the amount and rate of water runoff as well as the amount of soil erosion. It is the ratio of soil loss from a given conservation practices to soil loss obtained from up and down the slope. Where, conservation practices are contouring, strip cropping and terracing. Fig. 5.5 show that the variation from 0.5 to 1. Where 0.5 value indicates for agriculture and orchids and 1 values indicates to dense forest and open forest.

LAND DEGRADATION

Land degradation now a day's major environmental problem throughout the world. A big fraction of world’s soil resources is evident of continuing degradation of soil, causing the decrease in land capability, loss of upper fertile soil and decrease food productivity. In this study the fig. 5.7 show the actual land degradation scenario of study area. Dense forest having very low land degradation & Open forest having high land degradation ranges 2-5 t/ha/yr & >200 t/ha/yr.

ACCURACY ASSESSMENT

Classification is not complete until its accuracy has been assessed (Lille sand and kiefer, 2001). The accuracy means the level of agreement between labels assigned by the classifier and the class allocation on the ground collected by the user as test data. In this work the accuracy has in acceptable limit.

OVERALL ACCURACY

Overall accuracy is the proportion of all reference pixels, which are classified correctly. It is computed by dividing the total no of correctly classified pixels by the total no of reference pixels.

PRODUCER'S ACCURACY

Producer's accuracy estimates the probability that a pixel, which is class I in the reference classification, is correctly classified. It is estimated with the reference pixels of class I divided by the pixels where classification and reference classification agree in class I. Producer's accuracy tells how well the classification agree with reference classification.

KAPPA STATISTICS

Kappa analysis is a discrete multivariate technique used in accuracy assessment for significantly different than other. The result of performing a Kappa analysis is a KHAT(actually $K^*$, anestimate of Kappa), which is another measure of agreement or accuracy. That measure of agreement is based on the difference between the actual agreement in the error matrix and the chance agreement, which is indicated by the totals row and column.

CONCLUSION
Predicting Soil Loss and Land Degradation Assessment

The spatial data layers were input to RUSLE Model in GIS to predict the annual pixel level soil loss. Predicted soil erosion rate was classified into soil erosion risk classes (Singh et al. 1992): very low (0–5 t/ha /yr), low (5–10 t/ha /yr), moderate (10–25 t/ha /yr), moderately high (25–50 t/ha /yr), high (50–100 t/ha /yr), very high (100–200 t/ha /yr.) and (>200 t/ha /yr). Average annual soil loss of various land use/land cover types was estimated and analyzed to understand causes of erosion in the watershed in context to spatial distribution of erosion factors. LU/LC map derived from IRS-P6 LISS-III having overall classification accuracy 95.24% and agriculture land covers maximum 44.16% of total area. Physiographic soil map derived from IRS-P6 LISS-III Image & soil data (texture, slope, pH, EC & OC). The H21 (Hill Forest steep slope) &P11 (upper piedmont forest) type soil having maximum area 21.57% & 18.77% respectively of total area. Dense forest having very low land degradation & Open forest having high land degradation ranges 2-5 t/ha/yr & >200 t/ha/yr. Agricultural land having low, moderate and high mixed type land degradation because having mixed type of agriculture such as agro-forestry, orchard, crop and topography. The average land degradation in dense forest, Orchard, Agriculture increasing low to high Values.
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