

FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVE PERTAINING TO I-FUNCTION AND LAURICELLA FUNCTION

Harshita Garg* and Ashok Singh Shekhawat**

* Suresh Gyan Vihar University, Jagatpura, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

** Arya College Of Engineering And Information Technology, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Abstract :

The object of this paper is to obtain a fractional derivative of I- function associated with generalize Lauricella functions and general class of multivariable polynomials.

Key words: Fractional derivative operator, I-function, Lauricella function, general class of multivariable polynomials.

1. INTRODUCTION:

The I- function given by Saxena [4] is represented and defined as following:

$$I_{p_i, q_i; R}^{e, f} [Z] = I_{p_i, q_i; R}^{e, f} \left[Z \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1, f}, (a_{ji}, \alpha_{ji})_{f+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1, e}, (b_{ji}, \beta_{ji})_{e+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \phi(\xi) z^\xi d\xi \quad \dots (1.1)$$

Where

$$\phi(\xi) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^e \Gamma(b_j - \beta_j \xi) \prod_{j=1}^f \Gamma(1 - a_j + \alpha_j \xi)}{\sum_{i=1}^R c_i \prod_{j=f+1}^{p_i} \Gamma(a_{ji} - \alpha_{ji} \xi) \prod_{j=e+1}^{q_i} \Gamma(1 - b_{ji} + \beta_{ji} \xi)} \quad \dots (1.2)$$

p_i ($i= 1, \dots, R$), q_i ($i= 1, \dots, R$), e, f are integers satisfying $0 \leq f \leq p_i$, $0 \leq e \leq q_i$ ($i= 1, \dots, R$); R is finite, $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \alpha_{ji}, \beta_{ji}$, are real and positive; a_j, b_j, a_{ji}, b_{ji} are complex numbers and \mathcal{L} is the path of integration

separating the increasing and decreasing sequences of poles of the integrand.

The integral converges if

$$|\arg(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2} \Omega_i,$$

$$\text{Where } \Omega_i = \sum_{j=1}^f \alpha_j - \sum_{j=f+1}^{p_i} \alpha_j + \sum_{j=1}^e \beta_j - \sum_{j=e+1}^{q_i} \beta_j > 0$$

And

$$T = \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} b_j - \sum_{j=1}^{p_i} a_j > 0 \quad \dots (1.3)$$

If we take R=1 in (1.1), then the I- function will convert to the well known Fox’s H- function.

The general class of polynomials (multivariable) defined by Srivastava and Garg [7] represented in the following manner:

$$S_f^{e_1, \dots, e_r} [x_1, \dots, x_r] = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r=0}^{e_1 k_1 + \dots + e_r k_r \leq f} \frac{(-f)_{e_1 k_1 + \dots + e_r k_r}}{k_1! \dots k_r!} \cdot A[f; k_1; \dots; k_r] x_1^{k_1} \dots x_r^{k_r} \dots (1.4)$$

Where $e_1, \dots, e_r = 0$, are arbitrary positive integers and the coefficients $A[f; k_1; \dots; k_r]$ are arbitrary constants, real or complex.

The fractional derivatives of a function $f(t)$ of complex order γ given by Oldham and Spanner [3] and Srivastava and Goyal [8] as following:

$$0_t^{D_t^\gamma} \{f(t)\} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\gamma)} \int_0^t (t-x)^{-\gamma-1} f(x) dx & ; \quad Re(\gamma) < 0, \\ \frac{d^m}{dt^m} D_t^{\gamma-m} \{f(t)\} & ; \quad 0 \leq Re(\gamma) < m \end{cases} \dots (1.5)$$

Where m is a positive integer

The special case of the fractional derivatives of Oldham and Spanier [3] is

$$D_t^\gamma (t^\mu) = \frac{\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\mu-\gamma+1)} t^{\mu-\gamma} \quad , \quad Re(\mu) > -1 \dots (1.6)$$

The following known result is given by Srivastava and Panda [9]

Lemma:

If $\lambda (\geq 0)$, $0 < x < 1$, $Re(1+p) > 0$, $Re(q) > -1$, $\lambda_i > 0$ and $\Delta_i > 0$ or $\Delta_i = 0$ and $|z_i| < \sigma$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ then

$$x^\lambda \cdot F \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 x^{\lambda_1} \\ \vdots \\ z_r x^{\lambda_r} \end{matrix} \right] = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+p+q+2M)(-\lambda)_M (1+p)_\lambda}{M! (1+p+q+M)_{\lambda+1}} \cdot F_M [z_1, \dots, z_r] \cdot {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} -M, 1+p+q+M \\ 1+p \end{matrix} ; x \right) \dots (1.7)$$

(For $M \geq 0$)

Where $F_M [z_1, \dots, z_r]$

$$= F_{P+2: V^{(1)}; \dots; V^{(r)}}^{E+2: U^{(1)}; \dots; U^{(r)}} \left(\begin{matrix} [(e): \eta^{(1)}; \dots; \eta^{(r)}], [1+p+\lambda: \lambda_1; \dots; \lambda_r], [\lambda+1; \dots; \lambda_r] & : & [(w^{(1)}): x^1]; \dots; [w^{(r)}): x^{(r)}] \\ [(g): \xi^1; \dots; \xi^{(r)}], [2+p+q+M+\lambda: \lambda_1; \dots; \lambda_r], [\lambda-M+1; \lambda_1; \dots; \lambda_r] & : & [(V^{(1)}): t^1]; \dots; [V^{(r)}): t^{(r)}] \end{matrix} ; z_1, \dots, z_r \right) \dots (1.8)$$

Now following shorthand notations given by Srivastava and Daoust [6] denote the generalized Lauricella function of several complex variables.

$$F_{P: V^{(1)}; \dots; V^{(r)}}^{E: U^{(1)}; \dots; U^{(r)}} [\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r] = F [\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r] \dots (1.9)$$

2. THE MAIN RESULT :

This result consists I- function, Lauricella function and general class of polynomials as following:

$$D_x^Y \left\{ \begin{aligned} & (x-u)^\alpha \zeta^\alpha (v-x)^{\alpha+\beta} F \left(\begin{matrix} \eta_1 \{\zeta(v-x)\}^{\alpha_1} \\ \vdots \\ \eta_s \{\zeta(v-x)\}^{\alpha_s} \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (x-u)^{c_1} (v-x)^{d_1} \\ \vdots \\ (x-u)^{c_r} (v-x)^{d_r} \end{matrix} \right) \\ & I_{P_1, Q_1; R}^{e, f} \left[t \{x(x-u)\}^{\alpha'} \{x(v-x)\}^{\beta'} \left| \begin{matrix} (c_j, \sigma_j)_{1, f}, (c_{j_i}, \sigma_{j_i})_{f+1, p_i} \\ (d_j, \rho_j)_{1, e}, (d_{j_i}, \rho_{j_i})_{e+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{e_1 k_1 + \dots + e_r k_r \leq f \\ k_1, \dots, k_r = 0}} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-f)_{e_1 k_1 + \dots + e_r k_r}}{k_1! \dots k_r!} \Delta. A[f; k_1; \dots; k_r]$$

$$I_{P_1+3, Q_1+3; R}^{e, f+3} \left[t(-u)^{\alpha'} (v)^{\beta'} x^{\alpha'+\beta'} \left| \begin{matrix} [(-m_1-n_1), \alpha'+\beta'] \left[-\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^r c_i k_i; \alpha' \right], \left[-\beta - h - \sum_{i=1}^r d_i k_i; \beta' \right], (c_j, \sigma_j)_{1, f}, (c_{j_i}, \sigma_{j_i})_{f+1, p_i} \\ (d_j, \rho_j)_{1, e}, \left[m_1 - \alpha - \sum_{i=1}^r c_i k_i; \alpha' \right], \left[n_1 - \beta - h - \sum_{i=1}^r d_i k_i; \beta' \right], (\gamma - m_1 - n_1; \alpha' + \beta'), (d_{j_i}, \rho_{j_i})_{e+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

...(2.1) □

Where

$$\Delta = (-1)^{m_1} \frac{(1+p+q+2M)(-\alpha)_m (-M)_h (1+p)_\alpha (1+p+q+M)_h}{M! (1+p+q+M)_{\alpha+1} (1+p)_h \Gamma(m_1+1) \Gamma(n_1+1)} \zeta^h(-u)^{\alpha - m_1 + \sum_{i=1}^r c_i k_i} (v)^{\beta + h + \sum_{i=1}^r d_i k_i}$$

$$\cdot (x)^{m_1 + n_1 - \gamma} F_M(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_s);$$

$\alpha_i > 0 \beta_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, s$; and

$$\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) + \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i \left(\frac{d_j^{(i)}}{\delta_j^{(i)}} \right) > -1,$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(\beta) + \sum_{i=1}^s \beta_i \left(\frac{d_j^{(i)}}{\delta_j^{(i)}} \right) > -1$$

... (2.2)

Proof: In order to prove (2.1), express the I-function in terms of Mellin-Barnes type of contour integrals by (1.1) and Lauricella function by (1.7) and general class of polynomials given by (1.4), then collecting the powers of (x-u) and (v-x). Finally making use of the result (1.6), we get the main result (2.1).

3. PARTICULAR CASES:

(I) If we take R=1 in (1.1), then I-function breaks into well known Fox's H-function and consequently there hold the following result:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & D_x^Y \left\{ (x-u)^\alpha \zeta^\alpha (v-x)^{\alpha+\beta} F \left(\begin{matrix} \eta_1 \{\zeta(v-x)\}^{\alpha_1} \\ \vdots \\ \eta_s \{\zeta(v-x)\}^{\alpha_s} \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (x-u)^{c_1} (v-x)^{d_1} \\ \vdots \\ (x-u)^{c_r} (v-x)^{d_r} \end{matrix} \right) S_f^{e_1, \dots, e_r} \right. \\
 & \left. H_{p,q}^{e,f} \left[t \{x(x-u)\}^{\alpha'} \{x(v-x)\}^{\beta'} \middle| \begin{matrix} (c_j, \sigma_j)_{1,p} \\ (d_j, \rho_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right] \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r=0}^{e_1 k_1 + \dots + e_r k_r \leq f} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-f)_{e_1 k_1 + \dots + e_r k_r}}{k_1! \dots k_r!} \Delta. A[f; k_1; \dots; k_r] \\
 & H_{p+3, q+3}^{e,f+3} \left[t(-u)^{\alpha'} (v)^{\beta'} x^{\alpha'+\beta'} \middle| \begin{matrix} [(-m_1-n_1), \alpha'+\beta'] \left[-\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^r c_i k_i; \alpha' \right], \left[-\beta-h - \sum_{i=1}^r d_i k_i; \beta' \right], (c_j, \sigma_j)_{1,p} \\ (d_j, \rho_j)_{1,q} \left[m_1 - \alpha - \sum_{i=1}^r c_i k_i; \alpha' \right], \left[n_1 - \beta - h - \sum_{i=1}^r d_i k_i; \beta' \right], [(\gamma - m_1 - n_1); \alpha' + \beta'] \end{matrix} \right] \dots (3.1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Valid under the conditions surrounding (2.1)

(I) If we take multivariable H-function in place of I-function in (2.1), then we have a known result obtained by Chaurasia and Singhal [2] as following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & D_x^Y \left\{ (x-u)^\alpha \zeta^\alpha (v-x)^{\alpha+\beta} F \left(\begin{matrix} \eta_1 \{\zeta(v-x)\}^{\alpha_1} \\ \vdots \\ \eta_s \{\zeta(v-x)\}^{\alpha_s} \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (x-u)^{c_1} (v-x)^{d_1} \\ \vdots \\ (x-u)^{c_r} (v-x)^{d_r} \end{matrix} \right) S_f^{e_1, \dots, e_r} \right. \\
 & \left. H \left[\begin{matrix} t_1 \\ \vdots \\ t_s \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} \{x(x-u)\}^{\alpha_1} \{x(v-x)\}^{\beta_1} \\ \vdots \\ \{x(x-u)\}^{\alpha_s} \{x(v-x)\}^{\beta_s} \end{matrix} \right] \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r=0}^{e_1 k_1 + \dots + e_r k_r \leq f} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-f)_{e_1 k_1 + \dots + e_r k_r}}{k_1! \dots k_r!} \Delta. A[f; k_1; \dots; k_r] \\
 & .H_{A+3, C+3; [B, D]_{1, \dots, s}; [B^{(r)}, D^{(r)}]}^{0, \lambda+3; (x', y)_{1, \dots, s}; (x^{(r)}, y^{(r)})} \left[\begin{matrix} t_1 (-u)^{\alpha_1} v^{\beta_1} x^{\alpha_1+\beta_1} \\ \vdots \\ t_s (-u)^{\alpha_s} v^{\beta_s} x^{\alpha_s+\beta_s} \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} [-m_1 - n_1; \alpha_1 + \beta_1; \dots; \alpha_s + \beta_s], \left[-\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^r c_i k_i; \alpha_1; \dots; \alpha_s \right], \left[-\beta - h - \sum_{i=1}^r d_i k_i; \beta_1; \dots; \beta_s \right] \\ [(c); \Psi^1; \dots; \Psi^s], \left[m_1 - \alpha - \sum_{i=1}^r c_i k_i; \alpha_1; \dots; \alpha_s \right], \left[n_1 - \beta - h - \sum_{i=1}^r d_i k_i; \beta_1; \dots; \beta_s \right] \end{matrix} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} [(a) : \theta^{(1)} : \dots : \theta^{(s)}] : [b^{(1)} : \phi^{(1)}] ; \dots ; [b^{(s)} : \phi^{(s)}] \\ [(\gamma - m_1 - n_1) ; \alpha_1 + \beta_1 : \dots : \alpha_s + \beta_s] : [(d') : \delta'] ; \dots ; [(d^{(s)}) : \delta^{(s)}] \end{array} \right] \dots (3.2)$$

Valid under the conditions surrounding (2.1)

- (II) Taking $R=1$ and replacing $f \rightarrow f_1, \dots, f_r$ in (2.1), we get a known result obtained by Chaurasia and Shekhawat [1].

4. Conclusion:

The main result derived here is of a very general Nature and hence encompass several cases of interest hitherto scattered in the literature.

REFERENCES:

1. V.B.L. Chaurasia and A.S. Shekhawat, fractional derivative associated with the multivariable polynomials, Kyungpook math. J. **47** (2007), 495-500.
2. V.B.L. Chaurasia, fractional derivative of the multivariable polynomials, Bull. Malaysian Math. Sc. Soc. (second series), **26** (2003), 1-8
3. K.B. Oldham and J. Spanier, The fractional calculus, Academic Press New York, 1974.
4. V.P. Saxena, The I-function, Anamaya Publishers, New Delhi (2008)
5. Srivastava, H.M., A multilinear generating function for the Konhauser sets of bi-orthogonal polynomials suggested by the Laguerre polynomials, Pacific J. Math. **117**, 183-191 (1985)
6. H.M. Srivastava, and M.C. Daoust, certain generalized neuman expansions associated with the kempe' de feriet function, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch Indag. Math., **31** (1969), 449-457.
7. H.M. Srivastava, and M. Garg, some integrals involving a general class of polynomials and the multivariable H-function, Rev. Roumanie phys., **32** (1987), 685-692
8. H.M. Srivastava, and S.P. Goyal, fractional derivatives of the H-function of several variables, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **112** (1985), 641-651.
9. H.M. Srivastava, and R. Panda, certain expansion formulas involving the generalized Lauricella function, II comment math. Univ. St. Paul, **24** (1974), 7-14